

RECEIVED

By Ellen Agro at 9:47 am, May 11, 2020

Police Station Building Committee

Meeting Agenda

Wednesday May 13, 2020

6:00 p.m.

Join Microsoft Teams Meeting

+1 347-467-1434 United States, New York City (Toll)

Conference ID: 353 204 927#

6:00 p.m. Call to Order Online – Roll Call for Attendance

6:05 p.m. Approval of Meeting Minutes – May 6, 2020

6:07 p.m. Open Action Items on Decisions and Actions Log

- Status of Rings for Historical Commission – Don
- Extension of Builder’s Risk Insurance – Don

Later in the Project:

- Furniture Options – Mary/Chief Kurczy

Post Project:

- Salvage LED lighting from existing police station prior to demo – Committee

6:10 p.m. OPM Update

- Project Status / Three Week Look-ahead Schedule
- Breakdown of soil testing costs from Yankee Engineering (Lee Figgins)
- Parking lot boulders

6:25 p.m. Architect Update

- Update on RFI #105 – water pressure in new building
- Update on COP No. 59 Site Grading (granite curbing), RCOP and CCD #004
- Confirmation that sleeve for headstone low voltage lighting was installed
- New business
 - Change Order # 13
 - Request for Payment # 15 and Critical Path Schedule from Tower

6:40 p.m. Invoice Approvals, Chair/OPM Spending Approvals

6:50 p.m. Items Not Reasonably Anticipated 48 Hours Prior to Meeting

7:00 p.m. Next Meeting Date, Time, and Agenda

Adjournment

DRAFT

Mendon Police Station Building Committee Meeting
Mendon, MA 01756
Wednesday, May 6, 2020
6:00 p.m.

Virtual Meeting via Hangouts Meet: <https://meet.google.com/geb-kdgr-qgb>
Or Phone: 1-515-218-2209 PIN 514 910 917#

I. Call to Order

Joe Cronin took roll call to identify the members present. Only four Building Committee members were present so the meeting could not be called to order due to the lack of a quorum. As a result Joe decided to proceed with project updates and any items that required a vote would be passed over.

Building Committee Members Present:

Joe Cronin, Police Chief Dave Kurczy, Don Morin and Linda Thompson

Others Present:

Mary Bulso, Owner's Project Manager, Central Mass Projects
Peter Gaudreau, Construction Administrator, Kaestle Boos Associates
David McKinley, Landscape Architect, Kaestle Boos Associates

Don provided an update on the Select Board meeting on May 1, 2020. He shared Change Order Proposal #58 from Tower regarding the costs to resume work inside the building on May 4th with COVID-19 guidelines in place and without. The Select Board decided to comply with the Governor's guidance and continue to keep the Town buildings closed until May 18th. The Board will determine whether to resume work inside the building after the May 18th guidance is issued. Don informed the Board that there will be costs associated with a delay to the project, including costs for the architect, OPM, generator, heat/electricity and builder's risk insurance. This insurance expires on May 20th and an invoice to extend the insurance for an additional three months should be received in time for the next meeting.

Mary gave an update on the project. Sidewalks have been poured along the front and one side of the main lot today. Due to lots of rain in April the site work has taken longer than anticipated, but the contractor hopes to complete the work during the week of May 18th. The Guerriere and Halnon site survey had an incorrect elevation at the door threshold but this didn't impact the laying of the curbing by the contractor. The contractor installed the granite per the drawings. After the granite was installed the concrete sub made Tower aware that the slopes did not comply with the 2% max slope for ADA compliance. KBA will share their response to the Change Order Proposal from the GC later in the meeting. Lee Figgins has reviewed the testing work performed by Yankee based on his daily logs and supplied it to Mary. Mary will compare this against the invoices to determine what costs belong to the Town and what costs may belong to the contractor. Don asked if Mary needed any help with this and she said she has all the

invoices and would share her findings with Don when she is finished to have another set of eyes review the work. The parking lot sub grade is being removed now and no major issues have been encountered. No boulders have been found, only some smaller rocks too small to measure. The building is secure and the temporary fence has been removed. Mary has asked the contractor to clean up the rear of the site. Don asked if the Town had a key to the secured building for emergency purposes and Mary stated that Tower worked with Fire Chief Kessler on this.

At this time (6:12pm) Moritz Schmid joined the call so Joe officially called the meeting to order.

Joe and Don explained the ground rules for the meeting as it was being conducted remotely, consistent with Governor Baker's Executive Order of March 12, 2020 due to the current State of Emergency in the Commonwealth as a result of the outbreak of the "COVID-19 Virus."

II. Approval of Meeting Minutes – April 29, 2020

MOTION: A motion was made by Don Morin, seconded by Linda Thompson to accept the meeting minutes from April 29, 2020.

DISCUSSION: None

VOTED: Approved on a unanimous vote via roll call

III. Review Open Action Items on Decisions and Actions Log

- Payment for labor of batt insulation install – Chief Kurczy provided an invoice for payment in the amount of \$400 to reimburse him for paying for the labor of the batt insulation installation in the upper level of the former fire station.

MOTION: A motion was made by Don Morin, seconded by Moritz Schmid to approve payment of \$400 to Chief Kurczy to reimburse him for the labor to install the batt insulation in the upper level of the former fire station.

DISCUSSION: None

VOTED: Approved on a unanimous vote via roll call

- Furniture Options –The Committee will revisit this later in the project.
- Salvage LED lighting from existing police station prior to demo – The Committee will pursue this when the current station is to be demolished.

IV. OPM Update

- Mary gave a quick review of the information she shared earlier in the meeting (see above).

V. Architect Update

- Pete stated that there is no update to RFI #105 regarding the low water pressure in the new building as the subcontractor can't get into the building yet.
- KBA has prepared a Response to Change Order Proposal # 59 regarding the incorrect installation of the granite curbing that was reviewed at the previous meeting. KBA is rejecting the proposal due to the following:

“Tower did not coordinate the drawing requirements before the curbing was installed. If they had submitted a RFI, KBA would have raised the curb. The issue is that the site drawings call for no more than a 2% slope and the grading plans call for something greater than that. This is a clear conflict in the documents that should have been flagged to KBA's attention before it was installed. This is a coordination issue that Tower failed to identify in a timely manner.”

Pete asked if the Committee was okay with this response and the Committee agreed.

- Tower is putting a sleeve under the sidewalk adjacent to the headstone so that low level lighting can be installed in the future. An outlet near the door is available to support this lighting.
- Preliminary Change Order #13 was presented for \$2000 to cover the cost of the removal of the unforeseen foundation when doing site work. Pete is not going to process this change order until he has other items to add to it.
- The rings in the concrete have been removed but cannot be replaced within the new concrete. Tower wants to turn the rings back over to the Town. Pete stated that the rings could be removed from the existing concrete and epoxied into the new concrete if the Town wants to go this route. Another option is to place the entire concrete block in a grassy area. Don stated that he reached out to the Historical Commission and they are posted for a meeting Thursday night May 7th. He will attend their meeting and ask for guidance on how to proceed.

ACTION: Work with Mendon Historical Commission to determine what to do with the rings that they wanted to be retained outside the entrance to the former fire station. – Don

- Request for payment #15 from Tower was received by KBA and KBA recommended some changes and sent it back. They have not yet received an updated version yet. The request will be brought to the meeting next week.
- Joe asked about an email from Pete regarding the parking lot compaction testing. KBA recommends compaction testing be performed but asked if the Town would like to save money by waiving it. Joe, Mary and Moritz agreed with KBA and thought that the testing should be performed. KBA will inform Tower that the Town wants to proceed with the compaction testing.
- Don stated that he will need a hard copy of Change Order #12 that was approved at the last meeting for submission to the Town Accountant. He suggested that Pete provide a copy when he submits a hard copy of the request for payment #15 from Tower.
- Don informed the Committee that Dan Byer, Mendon Parks & Recreation Director reached out to Mary and Pete to ask questions about the site so it could be easily

maintained by the Town in the future. He also shared information about the site plantings with Anne Mazar. Anne suggested a minor change and David McKinley agreed to replace a Bradford pear tree with a Shadblow tree. It should not cost any more, and may even cost a little less. David also shared the makeup of the wildflower mix with Anne at her request. Don and Joe thanked David for his prompt attention to Anne's concerns. Mary echoed this appreciation as Anne has been very supportive of this and other projects in Town.

VI. Budget Review, Invoice Approvals, Chair/OPM Spending Approvals

- Don gave a budget update. Not much has changed since the last review. The contingency line item has been overspent by 5% and ~\$150K remains in the overall budget to support alternates #2 and #3 to demo the existing police station and finish the parking lot. This number will be reduced due to the extension of the project so it will remain to be seen if enough money will remain to complete the two remaining alternates.
- Linda informed the Committee that an invoice from KBA was received for 1.2 hours for a senior engineer consultation from LGCI for additional geotechnical services in the amount of \$176.40 with \$88.20 being CPA eligible and \$88.20 from the capital account.

MOTION: A motion was made by Don Morin, seconded by Moritz Linda Thompson to approve payment of \$176.40 to KBA for geotechnical services with 50% coming from the CPA account and 50% coming from the capital account.

DISCUSSION: Don stated that this item is in the budget and that this expenditure takes this line item up to ~88% of the spending target.

VOTED: Approved on a unanimous vote via roll call

- Don added that he and Linda received a second invoice from KBA for closeout documents in the amount of \$9000. Don asked Michael McKeon if this was accurate as it would consume 50% of the closeout budget. Michael responded that he wants to spread the closeout amount over three months instead of two and that a new invoice will be issued. He felt this was fair as they haven't increased their contracted amount. Don thanked Michael for his generosity in not increasing their contract amount.

VII. Items Not Reasonably Anticipated 48 Hours Prior to Meeting: None

- Joe informed the Committee that Michelle Sanford reached out to him and Don to ask some questions for an article in the upcoming Town Crier. Linda asked if Michele asked about the schedule for the project and, if so, what was shared. Joe stated that per the contractor there are about 23 working days left to complete the indoor work. Don added that this would take about 4-5 calendar weeks and would be followed by three weeks of punch list items and closeout work. He added that in the best case scenario the building work would resume on May 18th and last about eight weeks, leading to a completion date in mid-July. If the May 18th start date slips, the end date will correspondingly push out.

VIII. Next Meeting Date, Time and Agenda

The Committee agreed to post a virtual meeting for Wednesday, May 13th, 2020 at 6pm to do a quick check-in on the project as things are very dynamic and weekly meetings seem to make sense. If there is nothing to discuss, the meeting can be postponed to the following week.

IX. Adjournment

MOTION: A motion was made by Moritz Schmid, seconded by Linda Thompson to adjourn the meeting.

DISCUSSION: None

VOTED: Approved on a unanimous vote

The meeting adjourned at 6:39 p.m.

Minutes by Don Morin



Tower Construction Corp.

10 Southern Industrial Dr.
Cranston RI 02921

Mendon Police Station & Communications Center
Project 3 – Week Look Ahead
Tower Project No. 2211

There is no interior building work at this time per the directive provided by the Town of Mendon.

Week of May 11, 2020

Cut and fill reclaim main entrance
Rock Wall
Balance of Granite Curb *(CCD)
Set frames & covers
Subgrade Landscape Areas
Landscaping (Mow Strip)
Paint Exterior

Week of May 18, 2020

Set Remaining Frames & Covers
Balance of Site Concrete
Densgrade for paving (Front and Back)
Landscape
Paint Exterior
Paving & Striping

Week of May 25, 2020

Paving & Striping

Note in the month of April there were 12 days of site work that could not occur due to inclement weather and rain.



Mendon Police Station & Communications Center
Job No. 2211

CHANGE PROPOSAL No. 59 Rev 1

To: Peter Gaudreau From: Patrick Fitzgerald
Company: Kaestle Boos Architects Project # 2211
cc: Mary Bulso Pages:
Phone: 508-549-9906 Date: April 29, 2020
Re: RFI No. 108 Site Grading at Entry Walks and SKL-10R2
[] Urgent [x] For Review [x] Please Comment [x] Please Reply [] Please Recycle

Description:

Proposal from the Site Contractor to remove the work in place and make site grading, curbing, sidewalk preparation changes as a result of the direction of RFI 108 under SKL-010 R1.

Note the price includes being able to salvage the granite that is in place, however there is a possibility that the curbing can become damaged during the demolition of the concrete backing and would require additional granite to be purchased. The site contractor makes no guaranties that this curbing will be able to be removed and reinstalled with the same pieces.

The site contractor makes specific note that the curbing in place was set to contract drawings.

A time extension of 2 days is required for this work. Direction is needed on this no later than May 1, 2020 or an additional day of time extension and general conditions will be required.

Table with 5 columns: Cost Code, Cost, Mark Up, Bond, Total. Rows include 011000, 033000, 312000 Site, and 312000 Curb.

Total Change Proposal \$9,036.65

If Curb is able to be Salvaged the CP would total \$7,247.12

Please advise if you wish us to proceed.

Patrick Fitzgerald
Project Manager

Accepted _____
Denied _____
Revise & Resubmit | _____
Date _____

RCOP – RESPONSE TO CHANGE ORDER PROPOSAL

MENDON POLICE STATION
Mendon, MA 01756

KBA #17049.00
Page: 1 of 2

CONTRACTOR: Tower Construction Corp.
10 Southern Industrial Drive
Cranston, RI 02921
Attn: Patrick Fitzgerald

RCOP NO. 059R1

ISSUED BY: Peter D. Gandrean, Assoc. AIA
Construction Contract Administrator

COPIES TO:

- KBA – CT/MA/NH
- Owner
- Official
- Consultant
- Consultant

DATE: 5/5/2020

-
- Change Order Proposal has been reviewed by the Architect and is recommended to the Owner for approval.
- Change Order Proposal is rejected.
- Owner will not require the Contractor to proceed with the Work described in Change Order Proposal
 - Work described in Change Order Proposal is required by the Contract Documents. Refer to comments below.
- Revise and resubmit Change Order Proposal.
- Overhead/Profit is incorrect. Labor and material costs breakdown is insufficient.
 - Backup documentation is insufficient. Refer to comments below.

Description: RCOP Title

Change Proposal No. 59Rev1 -Site Grading SKL-10R2

Response.....

Tower did not coordinate the drawing requirements before the curbing was installed. If they had submitted a RFI, KBA would have raised the curb. The issue is that the site drawings call for no more than a 2% slope and the grading plans call for something greater than that. This is a clear conflict in the documents that should have been flagged to KBA's attention before it was installed.

This is a coordination issue that Tower failed to identify in a timely manner.

Attachments: COP No.

DRAFT AIA® Document G714® - 2017

Construction Change Directive

PROJECT: <i>(name and address)</i> Mendon Police Station 22 Main Street Mendon, MA 01756	CONTRACT INFORMATION: Contract For: Addition and Renovation Date: 01/30/19	GCD INFORMATION: Directive Number: 004 Date: 05/07/20
OWNER: <i>(name and address)</i> Town of Mendon 20 Main Street Mendon, MA 01756	ARCHITECT: <i>(name and address)</i> Kaestle Boos Associates, Inc. 16 Chestnut Street, Suite 301 Foxborough, MA 02035	CONTRACTOR: <i>(name and address)</i> Tower Construction Corporation 10 Southern Industrial Drive Cranston, RI 02921

The Contractor is hereby directed to make the following change(s) in this Contract:
(Insert a detailed description of the change and, if applicable, attach or reference specific exhibits.)

Per COP 59 rev. 1: Proposal from the Site Contractor to remove the work in place and make site grading, curbing, sidewalk preparation. Changes as a result of the direction of RFI 108 under SKL-010 R1. Note the price includes being able to salvage the granite that is in place, however there is a possibility that the curbing can become damaged during the demolition of the concrete backing and would require additional granite to be purchased. The site contractor makes no warranties that this curbing will be able to be removed and reinstalled with the same pieces. The site contractor makes specific note that the curbing in place was set to contract drawings. A time extension of 2 days is required for this work. Direction is needed on this no later than May 1, 2020 or an additional day of time extension and general conditions will be required.

PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS

- The proposed basis of adjustment to the Contract Sum or Guaranteed Maximum Price is:
 - Lump Sum increase of \$0.00
 - Unit Price of \$ per C
 - Cost, as defined below, plus the following fee:
(Insert a definition of, or method for determining, cost)
 - As follows: Additional Time with ownership of the costs to be determined later.
- The Contract Time is proposed to increase. The proposed adjustment, if any, is undetermined.

NOTE: The Owner, Architect and Contractor should execute a Change Order to supersede this Construction Change Directive to the extent they agree upon adjustments to the Contract Sum, Contract Time, or Guaranteed Maximum price for the change(s) described herein.



May 8, 2020

Kaestle Boos Associates, Inc
16 Chestnut Street
Foxborough, MA 02035

Mr. Peter Gaudreau
Construction Administrator

RE: CCD No. 004
CP No. 59 Rev 1 and RFI No. 108

Dear Mr. Gaudreau,

Please accept the following as a formal response to the response to CP 59 Rev 1 of which was summarized based on the changes made in the KBA response to RFI 108. Tower Construction strongly disagrees with the rejection based on Tower not properly coordinating. There have been numerous changes to the design grading elevations at the site, curbs, sidewalks, and ramps on all sides of the building, of which have been coordinated through and with KBA.

Note that this specific issue is resulting from KBA design issues, not coordination which is very important that the Owner be aware of and to our knowledge based on conversations with KBA employees, this was clearly relayed to the Building Committee that this issue is factually a design elevation issue. We will be formally making a request for a recording of the Building Committee records on this item under the Freedom of Information Act.

So that everyone who reads this letter can understand the magnitude of what Tower is stating factually, the only elevation on the north side of the building that has not changed from the base bid construction design documents is the new main entry door which was built and set at 353.80. The elevations at the door at the training room and the door at the stairwell were designed wrong and Tower assisted in correcting this with KBA. The elevations at the ramp (top and bottom) as well at the curb elevations all the way to the street did not meet code and have subsequently been changed. The only elevations provided for site concrete west of the main entrance is at the HC ramp in the parking area and the doorway to the training room. The top of concrete is to match the top of curb per the detail where no elevations are provided.

ASI 15_01 Sally Port FFE - This first major grading issue had to do with the HC ramp leading from the main entry to the stairwell entrance. The elevation at the stairwell door on the L4.01 drawing conflicted with the Structural drawings. L4.01 indicated the elevation of the slab on grade being 4" higher than the structural drawing (351.46 vs 351.13). This ASI contains SKL-003 which changed all of the elevations for the concrete of the stairwell door (lowering it to accommodate the 4" loss in elevation), modified the elevations of the walkway and the bottom of ramp to account for the 4" of lost elevation, and raised the top of the ramp elevation from 353.46 to 353.57 (1-1/4"). No elevations west of the ramp were modified or added and therefore it was our understanding the design revisions were complete.

Tower Construction Corp.

10 Southern Industrial Dr. Cranston RI 02921 • Phone: 401-943-0110 • Fax: 401-944-4041



On April 6th, 2020, prior to curb installation, Tower "coordinated with KBA" and requested that the elevations be reviewed, and further information provided for the ramp at the driveway and adjacent areas leading to the building. We were provided with SKL-009 from KBA which provided these elevations for installation. No other elevations were modified or provided.

On April 21, 2020 after installing the curb necessary to start the site concrete at the sidewalk and on the north side of the building it was indicated that the existing man door at the north side of the training room had a detail for a historic stoop to be installed. Clarification was being requested to follow this detail and it was noted that the existing door elevation was appx 1" higher than the design elevations.

Please note at that time, we are following the specific "design" grades for top of curb to follow for installation. Very important to understand that it has been verified and confirmed by KBA that the design grades DID NOT MEET CODE if the building elevation was at the 353.80 at this door.

This condition along with the notation that the existing slab was approximately 1" higher (353.90) than the elevation provided in the contract drawings (353.80) noted that KBA needed to re-assess the area before the sidewalks could be formed. Upon finding that issue we had the sidewalk contractor checked the HC ramp, main entrance and the ramp leading to the stairwell entrance and found that the design grades provided on the contract drawings did not meet the maximum 2% slope allowable for these areas. In summary the design of the grades which have nothing to do with coordination or existing conditions, do not meet code.

On April 21st, we wrote RFI 108. The question asked in RFI 108 pointed out 2 specific items. First and foremost, the main entrance elevations provided did not appear to meet code and second the issues with the grades specified at the egress door at the training room. It is to be notes that the specified elevation at the training room door did not meet code either.

On April 23rd the initial response came as SKL-010. This sketch had us leaving the elevation at the bottom of the HC ramp alone and modifying 2 areas of curbing. It changed the elevation of the curb to the east of the HC Ramp and changed the elevations of the curb from the HC ramp west all the way to the street to accommodate the door at the training room.

Upon receiving the sketch Tower reviewed the changes with our subcontractors and responded with a marked-up copy of SKL-010 showing the flat areas required at changes in direction, top and bottom of a ramp and at doorways.

Later that day SKL-010R1 was issued. It changed all the grades for the cubs, ramp, and sidewalks. It did not designate the flat areas depicted and recommended for review on the Tower Markup Sketch.

On April 27, 2020, Tower issued CP No. 59 to follow the direction of removing the curb and reinstalling it per this new design along the curb line running parallel with the building. In order to stay on schedule, Tower requested direction no later than May 1, 2020.

It was noted by KBA that something did not appear right with some of the curbing based on the field visit. Tower Construction verified the elevations of all curbs installed and determined that a portion of the

Tower Construction Corp.

10 Southern Industrial Dr. Cranston RI 02921 - Phone: 401-943-0110 - Fax: 401-944-4041



curbing (that did not impact the sidewalk installation) was set low by the site contractor. The balance of the curbing installed where the sidewalks abut it were installed correctly. I personally called David McKinley of KBA to review these with him that day to further attempt to resolve this design issue and leave the curb per the original design elevations. Our survey information was forwarded to KBA and they verified that the numbers provided met the documents. Due to the inevitable design, the site contractor removed all of the curb that was not going to work including what was installed incorrectly.

KBA acknowledged that the error was in their design and asked if Tower could reduce the Change Proposal of which Tower did and submitted on April 29, 2020. Again, Tower reiterated that direction was needed by May 1, 2020.

Tower did not receive formal direction until May 7, 2020 which is 6 days later that what we were already delayed. Our concrete subcontractor was delayed from making the concrete placement at this area and will be required to return as an additional mobilization.

We will proceed under the direction of the CCD 4 and take this as formal notice per the articles of the contract that there will be a claim for this work including lost time as a result of not responding in a timely manner. This claim will require not only the costs associated with removing and re-installing the curb but a time extension, additional field survey work, delay to concrete contractor and extended general conditions as outlined in the CP 59 Rev 1.

Furthermore, KBA and the Town of Mendon are also on notice that Tower does not believe that the current re-design meets code as there are no flat areas as indicated in our review on April 23rd. Tower will be installing the curbing per the design elevations. The concrete contractor again will follow these drawings and DESIGN under the CCD. If this does not meet code or any other general note in the contract documents the responsibility will not be Tower Construction's. We are following design documents per our contract and have spent far too many times touching this issue to make sure it is done correctly, yet KBA wants to state that we are not properly coordinating.

In closing, we are not the designers for this project and for KBA to take the position of putting all the design issues on the contractor while throwing an unprofessional coordination note is unethical. Clearly this comes down to the Owner not wanting to pay for the mistake acknowledge by KBA, so the group decides to put another expense on Tower Construction.

Sincerely yours,

Patrick Fitzgerald

Patrick Fitzgerald
Vice President

cc: M. Bulso

Tower Construction Corp.

10 Southern Industrial Dr. Cranston RI 02921 • Phone: 401-943-0110 • Fax: 401-944-4041

AIA® Document G702® - 1992

Application and Certificate for Payment

TO OWNER: Town of Mendon 20 Main Street Mendon, MA 01756 FROM: Tower Construction Corporation 10 Southern Industrial Drive Cranston, RI 02921	PROJECT: New Mendon Police Station Facility 22 Main Street Mendon, MA 01756 VIA ARCHITECT: Kessie Boos Associates, Inc. 16 Chestnut Street, Suite 301 Foxborough, MA 02035
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

APPLICATION NO: 015 PERIOD TO: April 30, 2020 CONTRACT FOR: General Construction CONTRACT DATE: / 2211 / PROJECT NOS: / 2211 /	Distribution to: OWNER: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ARCHITECT: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONTRACTOR: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIELD: <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: <input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CONTRACTOR'S APPLICATION FOR PAYMENT

Application is made for payment, as shown below, in connection with the Contract. Continuation Sheet, AIA Document G703, is attached.

1. ORIGINAL CONTRACT SUM 4,972,000.00
2. NET CHANGE BY CHANGE ORDERS 508,216.41
3. CONTRACT SUM TO DATE (Line 1 ± 2) 5,480,216.41
4. TOTAL COMPLETED & STORED TO DATE (Column G on G703) 5,061,120.11
5. RETAINAGE:
 - a. 5 % of Completed Work 251,501.31
 (Column D + E on G703)
 - b. 5 % of Stored Material 1,554.70
 (Column F on G703)
 Total Retainage (Lines 5a + 5b or Total in Column I of G703) 253,056.01
6. TOTAL EARNED LESS RETAINAGE 4,808,064.10
 (Line 4 Less Line 5 Total)
7. LESS PREVIOUS CERTIFICATES FOR PAYMENT 4,672,723.88
 (Line 6 from prior Certificate)
8. CURRENT PAYMENT DUE 135,340.22
9. BALANCE TO FINISH, INCLUDING RETAINAGE 672,152.31
 (Line 5 less Line 6)

CHANGE ORDER SUMMARY	ADDITIONS	DEDUCTIONS
Total changes approved in previous months by Owner	530,100.35	9,623.34
Total approved this Month	323.49	12,584.09
TOTALS	530,423.84	22,207.43
NET CHANGES by Change Order		508,216.41

The undersigned Contractor certifies that to the best of the Contractor's knowledge, information and belief the Work covered by this Application for Payment has been completed in accordance with the Contract Documents, that all amounts have been paid by the Contractor for Work for which previous Certificates for Payment were issued and payments received from the Owner, and that current payment shown herein is now due.

By: *[Signature]*
 State of Rhode Island
 County of Providence
 Subscribed and sworn to before me this 12th day of May 2020
 Notary Public: Doune B. Burkhead
 My Commission expires: 09/24/20



ARCHITECTS CERTIFICATE FOR PAYMENT
 In accordance with the Contract Documents, based on on-site observations and the data comprising this application, the Architect certifies to the Owner that to the best of the Architect's knowledge, information and belief the Work has progressed as indicated, the quality of the Work is in accordance with the Contract Documents, and the Contractor is entitled to payment of the AMOUNT CERTIFIED.

AMOUNT CERTIFIED 135,340.22
(Attach explanation if amount certified differs from the amount applied. Initial all figures on this Application and on the Continuation Sheet that are changed to conform with the amount certified.)
 By: _____ Date: _____

AIA Document G702® - 1992 Copyright © 1993, 1994, 1995, 1971, 1978, 1983 and 1992 by The American Institute of Architects. All rights reserved. The American Institute of Architects, "AIA," the AIA Logo, "AIA," and "AIA Contract Documents" are registered trademarks and may not be used without permission. This document was produced by AIA software at 15:49:18 ET on 05/12/2020 under Order No.2005441888 which expires on 05/23/2020. It is not for resale. It is licensed for one-time use only, and may only be used in accordance with the AIA Contract Documents' Terms of Service. To report copyright violation, e-mail copyright@aia.org
 User Notes: _____
 (389A) (A06E)



INVOICE

Invoice Number: 17049.00-25
 Date: April 30, 2020
 Project Number: 17049.00

Town of Mendon

Attn: Ms. Kimberly Newman
 Town Administrator
 20 Main Street
 Mendon, MA 01756

Mendon, MA - Mendon Police Station

For Professional Services Rendered Through: April 30, 2020

Account # Account Name:
 030.0210.5820.7055 CPA Police Station Capital Expenditure
 030.0210.5820.7053 Police Buildings-Police Station Project

Fee Services

	Contract Amount	Percent Complete	Fee Earned	Prior Billings	Current Billings
Schematic Design - Fire Station CPA Renovation	\$27,000.00	100.00	\$27,000.00	\$27,000.00	\$0.00
Schematic Design - New Police Station	\$27,000.00	100.00	\$27,000.00	\$27,000.00	\$0.00
Design Development - Fire Station CPA Renovation	\$36,000.00	100.00	\$36,000.00	\$36,000.00	\$0.00
Design Development - New Police Station	\$36,000.00	100.00	\$36,000.00	\$36,000.00	\$0.00
Construction Documents - Fire Station CPA Renovation	\$63,000.00	100.00	\$63,000.00	\$63,000.00	\$0.00
Construction Documents - New Police Station	\$63,000.00	100.00	\$63,000.00	\$63,000.00	\$0.00
Bidding - Fire Station CPA Renovation	\$9,000.00	100.00	\$9,000.00	\$9,000.00	\$0.00
Bidding - New Police Station	\$9,000.00	100.00	\$9,000.00	\$9,000.00	\$0.00
Construction Administration - Fire Station CPA Renovation	\$36,000.00	100.00	\$36,000.00	\$36,000.00	\$0.00
Construction Administration - New Police Station	\$36,000.00	100.00	\$36,000.00	\$36,000.00	\$0.00
Closeout - Fire Station CPA Renovation	\$9,000.00	33.33	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$3,000.00
Closeout - New Police Station	\$9,000.00	33.33	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$3,000.00
	\$360,000.00		\$348,000.00	\$342,000.00	\$6,000.00

Invoice Total \$6,000.00

416 Slater Road, P.O. Box 2590, New Britain, CT 06050-2590
 Phone: 860-229-0361 ▲ Fax: 860-229-5303
 Additional offices located in Massachusetts
 Email: kba@kba-architects.com ▲ Web: www.kba-architects.com